

<u>Landis Run</u> <u>Intermediate</u> <u>School</u>

Lancaster, PA

Technical Report One

By: Matthew Stevenson Construction Management





<u> Landis Run Intermediate</u>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Project Schedule Summary	4
Building Systems Summary	6
Excavation	6
Concrete	6
Masonry	6
Steel	7
Mechanical	7
Electrical	
Curtain Wall & Roof	
Environmental	
Project Cost Evaluation	9
Building & Project Costs	9
Square Foot Estimate	9
Assemblies Estimate	10
Estimate Accuracy	10
Site Layout	11
Local Conditions	12
Client Information	13
Client Goals & Expectations	13
Phasing & Occupancy Requirements	14
Project Delivery System	15
Staffing Plan	17
Office Personnel	17
Field Personnel	17
Appendix A	19
Appendix B	20
Appendix C	22
Appendix D	24
Appendix E	30

Executive Summary

After writing this report on various aspects of the design and construction of Landis Run Intermediate School I've made some key conclusions about the project. The project's largest driver is the schedule. The school must be ready for occupancy for the 2012-2013 academic year because the district has nowhere else to place the 5th and 6th grade students that are scheduled to occupy the building.

Another key finding is that installation of the new switch gear is a vital activity in the critical path of the project. The power for the building will come from switch gear in the high school which currently feeds power to the high school and two other buildings on the campus. New switch gear is required to handle the additional load of LRI. If the installation goes awry the whole campus could be out of power. In addition, if the switch gear is not installed in a timely manner than construction activities that rely on the use of power could lag.

Although the site is relatively large and the building does have a significant land and forest buffer, the building is surrounded on three sides by residents. In consideration of the surrounding residents as well as a noise ordinance, construction before 7 a.m. has been strictly condemned.

The project is striving for LEED Silver certification which is an ambitious goal. The strategy conceived to reach this goal is a combination of design features and construction practices. The specific design features and construction practices are listed in the environment building systems summary.

It should be noted that the building was designed in Autodesk Revit by the Architect over a period of about 6 months. Some renderings of the building model can be found on the cover page of this report.

In terms of additional forthcoming research, the author is concerned about the fact that the project is a multiple prime contract type. Due to the relative segregation of responsibility for building systems it could be hard to make contact or receive cooperation with other primes to obtain information about building systems that the general contractor cannot obtain.

Project Schedule Summary

The schedule, found in Appendix A, for Landis Run Intermediate School is broken down by construction area as shown in Figure 1. It is broken down in such a way because the project's size makes it impractical to do a given activity all at once (i.e. install all the ducts at the same time). By breaking the building up into four sections the efficiency of construction is increased. A phase schedule of the project can be found in Appendix A.

The key activities in getting the foundations installed are the preparation of the building pad by the site contractor, the digging of the footers by the concrete contractor, and



the timely pouring of the concrete. This is true especially in areas C & D which are downhill from areas A & B. When it rains enough on site the prepping of the building pad, the digging of footers, and the pouring of concrete are delayed. While some water is good for compaction, most of the time when it rains the soil receives too much water and loses its ability to be compacted. When this soil is located at the bottom of a slope the problem is compounded water from the hill top runs down to the lower ground. Therefore excessive water accumulates and creates an unsuitable soil. The three activities listed above rely on good compaction and therefore are key elements of getting the foundations ready.

The key elements in installing the structure are the pouring of the slabs, constructing the load bearing masonry walls, and installation of joists. The pouring of slabs is important because the masons cannot bring up the interior masonry walls without the slabs having been poured and cured. Door frames are important for the same reason. It is important to note that if the door frames are not installed then the walls around the doors cannot be brought up to full bearing height. In order for the joists to be installed all of the load bearing masonry walls have to be up to bearing height. Once the slabs are poured, the walls can come up to height provided all door frames are installed. Then the joists can be installed and the composite decking welded to the joists. Once the decking is installed the next floor can be poured and the cycle will repeat. As you can see the three activities listed above are major activities in the critical path of completing the superstructure.

The most important key in the finishing sequence is the "dry-in" milestones. This refers to the point at which the building becomes impenetrable to water. The finishes

cannot be installed until the building is water proof due to the fact that water will ruin the finishes if the two come into contact. Once the building is enclosed the finishes can be started and phased during which many different activities could be occurring at the same time. Another important key to the finishing sequence is the completion of MEP work. A great deal of finish work such as drywall, ceiling grid, and light fixtures cannot be completed until all the "rough in" mechanical, electrical, and plumbing work is completed in a given area.

Building Systems Summary Excavation

Landis Run Intermediate School was built nearly entirely on grade. It was able to do this by utilizing an existing grade



Figure 2. *Courtesy of Crabtree, Rohrbaugh, & Associates* differential as shown in figure 2. The only substantial excavation required was for the partial basement in Area B. It was simply excavated out of the side of a hill and was sloped back as oppose to using any sort of excavation support system. In addition, as predicted by the geotechnical report no sub-surface water was found on site and therefore no dewatering system was needed. There was no required demolition on site.

Concrete

Due to the fact that Landis Run Intermediate is a load bearing masonry wall concrete was not used as extensively as compared to a precast or cast-in-place structure. The main use of cast-in-place concrete for LRI are the building slabs which are part of the structure. The concrete binds to the metal decking to provide a composite material which resists the compression and tension forces placed on it. All of the cast-in-place concrete on LRI was placed with concrete pump trucks. No formwork is required, besides dimensional lumber for pour breaks, since they are simply poured up to the surrounding walls or steel angles which are welded to the decking. Precast concrete was utilized to a lesser extent in the building. The only significant amount of precast concrete that is being used for LRI is for lintels in which the use of steel is unneccessary such as over doors and some smaller windows.

Masonry

The building is supported by load bearing concrete masonry unit (CMU) walls, which are 8" and 16" thick and are filled with grout and rebar. A veneer made up of brick and decorative CMUs is supported by the load bearing walls as shown in Figure 3. Spray foam insulation is sprayed onto the exterior face of the CMU's in the air space between the veneer and the load bearing wall. A weep system is also placed at the bottom of the airspace to allow for the efficient shedding of water from the assembly. The veneer is attached to the load bearing wall using a two piece anchor. The masons on LRI are using manual scaffolding for the majority of the walls on LRI. However, a hydraulic scaffolding system was used **Figure 3**.

Courtesy of Warfel Construction



for the gymnasium due to its substantial height. **Steel**

As stated before the building utilizes load bearing CMU walls which obviously negates the need for steel beams and columns. The main use of steel on LRI is for floor support. Steel K joists are used to support the composite deck and roof throughout the building. The largest joists, seen in figure 4, are found in the gymnasium and total over 70' long. The smallest joist can be found in the hallways



Figure 4. Courtesy of Warfel Construction

throughout the building. A 70 ton mobile crane is used to lift these joists onto the bearing plates which in turn rest on the load bearing walls. Once lifted into place the joists are welded to the base plates and laterally braced using steel angles to resist wind and other lateral forces. Since joists are not lifted into place everyday the crane is not a permanent fixture on site and is only brought in on days which are scheduled to place joists. The crane simply finds acceptably level around of ground and extends its outriggers for added balance. A truck is pulled up along side of the crane with the joists loaded on its bed. Once the joists are installed the crane leaves the site until it will be needed again.

Mechanical

The mechanical room in LRI is located in the North East corner of area B. It is seperated from all other rooms by hallways which provides a noise buffer to the surrounding music rooms and faculty room. The system is a variable air volume (VAV) system and uses a combination of rectangular metal ducks and flex ducts to distribute the air flow. Flex ducts are round ducts made of various materials and are not rigid allowing them to be directed along non-linear paths. In LRI the metal ducts are used for the main branches throughout the school and the sub branches which go into the individual rooms.

The flex ducts run from the sub branches to the diffusers in the rooms. This was done so that the ducts could be routed around other objects that may be in the plenum space.

LRI utilizes a wet pipe fire protection system. This means that the sprinkler pipes are dry (no water is standing in the pipes) but will quickly fill with water if a sprinkler is tripped due to heat. LRI has six large precast concrete



water storage tanks, seen in figure 5 on the previous page, in the basement of area B which hold the water to supply the fire supression system in case of a fire.

Electrical

Landis Run Intermediate has two distribution panels. One operates at a building uitilization voltage of 120/208V for its receptacles, lights, and other low voltage equipment. The other distribution panel operates at 277/480V for its higher voltage equipment. The electrical system has a total capacity of 2500A. The system is partially redundant. While not everything has backup power a 130 kW natural gas generator provides backup power for emergency lighting, essential mechanical equipment, and the fire supression system. There is also an uninterruptible power supply (UPS) which will provide power for all essential equipment and emergency lighting during couple of minutes it will take for the generator to start up.

Curtain Wall & Roof

There is a variety of types of glazing used for the windows and curtain walls on this project. Different glazing used on the project includes: Monolithic Float Glass, heat-treated float glass, and insulating glass. Depending on where they are located in the building they may be acid etched or low e and will have varying performance requirements. The windows and curtain walls utilize aluminum for the mullions. The curtain walls and larger windows will be mechanically attached to the concrete floor or concrete block that they rest on as well as to the wood blocking or concrete block above them. They were initally designed by the Architect and were reviewed and finalized with shop drawings provided by the window manufacturer. The roofing system is comprised of EPDM, Thermoplastic Polyolefin (TPO), and sheet metal systems.

Environmental

Landis Run Intermediate is seeking a LEED Silver rating from the United States Green Building Councils. The strategies set to meet that standard are mix of design features and construction standards. The building will utilize a white roof to reduce the heat island effect and it will also use light shades in the parking lot to reduce light pollution in the area. In addition, it will have water efficient fixtures to reduce water consumption. Bike racks will be located on site to encourage alternative transportation to the building. There was also extensive use of rapidly renewable resources such as bamboo and low volatile organic compound materials used throughout the project. Other green construction methods were employed during the construction of the site such as diverting construction waste for recycling or reuse. Another construction method implemented was disturbing as little of the surrounding environment as possible.

Project Cost Evaluation

Building & Project Costs

Table 1, shown below, shows the cost of the building construction cost, total project cost, and the cost of various building systems. Each cost is provided as a total cost and a cost per square foot (SF). **Table 1**.

	<u>Total Cost</u>	<u>Cost per Square Foot</u>
Construction Cost	\$26,400,000.00	\$125.71
Total Project Cost	\$28,400,000.00	\$135.24
Structural System	\$5,700,000.00	\$27.14
Mechanical System	\$4,900,000.00	\$23.33
Electrical System	\$2,800,000.00	\$13.33
Plumbing System	\$2,100,000.00	\$10.00
Low Voltage System	\$1,400,000.00	\$6.67

As will be discussed in a later section, LRI is a multi-prime project which means that the work for each major building system is owned by a separate contractor which holds a contract directly with the owner. The systems costs in the table above are simply the contract values for each of the primes. The construction cost is the cost of constructing the building and does not include site work or any other costs associated with the project. The total project cost is the construction cost plus the site work. There are no land purchasing costs associated with the project due to the fact that the owner already owned the land on which LRI is being constructed. In addition, according to parties involved with the project, the permitting fees are negligible due to the high amount of previous engineering and construction (i.e. existing storm water systems, etc.) on the campus on which LRI is being built.

Square Foot Estimate

Table 2 is a summary of a square foot estimate based off of R.S. Means values. It

takes into account rough parameters of the project such as building type, square footage, and building type. The results of this estimate should be accurate to within 10-15% of the actual building cost according to R.S. Means. A full breakdown of the square foot estimate can be found in Appendix B. This square foot **Table 2**.

Square Foot Cost Estimate Report				
Estimate Name: Landis Run Intermediate School				
Building Type:	School, Elementary with Face Brick with Concrete Block Back-up / Bearing Walls			
Location:	National Average			
Story Count:	1			
Story Height (L.F.):	12			
Floor Area (S.F.):	210000			
Labor Type:	Union			
Basement Included:	No			
Data Release:	Year 2008 Quarter 1			
Cost Per Square Foot:	\$85.21			
Building Cost:	\$17,894,500			

estimate was produced under the assumption that LRI has two stories that were 105,000 SF each. This is obviously a false assumption but a necessary one due to rigid choices in R.S. Means. Another assumption is that the local labor and material rates are near the national average

Table 3.

	Square Foot Cost Estimate Report
Estimate Name:	Landis Run Intermediate School
Building Type:	School, Elementary with Face Brick with Concrete Block Back-up / Bearing Walls
Location:	National Average
Story Count:	1
Story Height (L.F.):	12
Floor Area (S.F.)	210000
Labor Type:	Union
Basement Included:	No
Data Release:	Year 2008 Quarter 1
Cost Per Square Foot:	\$85.21
Building Cost:	\$20,453,865

Assemblies Estimate

Table 3 is a more accurate estimate of the square foot cost of the building. The values for key pieces of the mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and fire protection systems were removed from the square foot estimate in the previous section. Estimates for these key pieces of equipment were then compiled based on R.S. Means Assemblies data and the actual equipment in LRI. Those estimates were then placed back into the square foot estimate resulting in a more realistic square foot estimate. Specific assemblies that were estimated using assemblies data include the sprinkler system, switchgear, generator, air-handler units, and boilers.

Estimate Accuracy

The original square foot estimate was 67.8% of the actual cost of the building. This lack of accuracy is the cumulative error of many inaccuracies. The largest of these inaccuracies were the false but necessary assumptions made due to the rigid structure of the input fields in R.S. Means. In addition, there are a great deal of variables such as types of piping, types of fixtures, level of finishes, etc. that can reduce the accuracy of the estimate if they don't match what R.S. Means assumes. The assemblies estimate was 77.4% accurate. The increase in accuracy is due to a more accurate estimate of the main components of the MEPFP systems in the building. The tables used to perform the estimates can be found in Appendix D. The next step in obtaining a more accurate estimate would be to take into account more detailed takeoffs and quality of finishes.

Site Layout

Four site plans, which can be found at the end of this document show four different phases of construction at LRI. The first is the existing conditions plan which simply shows the building footprint and its varying number of stories, the site utilities, the site boundary, and the access drive. This plan is intended to show the layout of the site before any actual construction activities occur. The utilities and building footprint are shown to give an idea of the scale of the building and site as well as to identify where the utilities are. It should be noted that the site boundary is also where the site fencing is located.

The excavation phase plan shows the layout of the site as footers and any necessary grading is taking place. It shows where the job trailers for the prime contractors are located along with available parking. These trailers are the only temporary facilities on site. The plan also shows the general area where the stockpile is located. A site entrance/exit could not be shown on the plans due to the fact that it is located farther down the access drive and including it in the plans would have made the scale of the plan too small to distinguish any detail. This plan also shows the flow of traffic that workers and civilians coming to site will utilize.

The superstructure phase plan shows the layout of the site as the walls, slabs, joists, and roofs are being installed. It shows the laydown areas for each of the major trades that require it. Notice that the MEP laydown area is one big area. This is due to the fact that at this point in construction the MEP trades are simply running lines and pipes underground and through walls and therefore do not have as much to store as the main structural trades, masonry and steel. Construction traffic is also shown on this plan which shows how any construction equipment and/or vehicles will traverse the site. Notice that at the North West corner of the building the civilian traffic and construction traffic cross paths. This is a dangerous spot and can result in a severe accident if caution is not paid by both drivers of construction equipment as well as civilian vehicles where driving around the site. Notice that no crane is shown on site due to the fact that there is no permanent crane. As discussed before the crane is mobile and is only on site on days which require setting joists.

The finished plan shows the layout of the site as the vast majority of work has moved to the inside of the building and grading around the building is complete. Lay down areas are no longer necessary. The only storage needed are the storage trailers for materials which will later be installed in the building.

Due to the openness of the site and its relative seclusion there are no out of the ordinary safety procedures. The site is spacious enough to accommodate for all required parking and laydown areas as well as for the easy maneuvering of construction equipment.

Local Conditions

Landis Run Intermediate School is located in Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Although there are many common types of construction in the area, the most common method of construction is load bearing masonry. Within this type of construction joists are by far the most common method of supporting any floor slabs above on the load bearing walls. It is also typical for a building of this construction type and size to not have a permanent crane on site due to the relatively small amount of things to be lifted. Since joists are not set every day and most other building materials can be lifted to significant heights with front loaders it is not cost effective to have a crane on site for a majority of the project.

One way in which LRI is trying to achieve its LEED Silver rating is by minimizing the disturbance on site during construction. This inherently reduces the amount of available parking for workers. However, even with the reduced site disturbance there is still a sufficient amount of parking available to workers even during peak construction periods. There is enough space for two lanes of parking in front of the job trailers of the primes and the access road, which is of substantial length, is wide enough for parking on one side. There are also many open areas that when not being used for storage could be utilized for parking. Overall, although parking has the potential to be tight at times the site certainly has the capacity to accommodate all vehicles and has no need to bus workers in from offsite parking lots.

As found on the website of the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority, it cost \$53.00 per ton to dispose of construction/demolition waste in its landfills. Recyclables cost \$10.00 per ton to either dump at a landfill, resource recovery facility (RRF), or transfer station. That price is steady for all types of recyclables. A complete list of dumping fees for the LCSWMA can be found in Appendix D.

According to the Geotechnical report provided by the owner there are approximately six different soil types on the site. The soils were classified in accordance with ASTM D2488 and are the following: silt, silt with sand (ML), shale, silty sand (SM), lean clay with sand (CL), dolomite, and schist. In general, the soil contained variable amounts of sand and rock fragments and is generally firm, stiff, and compactible. Under normal conditions the soil found on site is suitable for construction. However, as is the case with all soils excessive rain can create unsuitable soils and will need to be given time to dry. The site experienced this with a period of steady rain in the summer. Remediation efforts were made via aerating to dry the soil as quickly possible.

In addition, the Geotechnical report states that there was no groundwater found during any excavations of test pits. Therefore, due to the geotechnical report and the lack of significant excavation, groundwater is not anticipated to be encountered during the construction of LRI.

<u>Client Information</u>

Client Goals & Expectations

The owner of the project is the Manheim Township School District. They are constructing the 210,000 SF building in order to prepare for the growth that the district is experiencing. The new 5th and 6th grade school will also allow the district to expand its services, specifically the addition of full day kindergarten.

The school district's number one goal is ensuring the turnover deadline is met. It has set a strict deadline for building turnover for August ^{28th}, 2012 so that the building will be ready for occupancy for the 2012-2013 academic year. It is an essential goal because they have no alternative locations to place the 5th and 6th grade students scheduled to occupy the building. They have shared some of the burden in ensuring that the deadline is reached. One example was the district's willingness to purchase hundreds of thousands of dollars' worth of stone so that the project would not be delayed due to rain and the lack of a suitable building footer. They purchased the stone so that a suitable building pad could be made and footings could start sooner than if they waited for the soil to dry out.

The second most important goal for the district is staying on budget. So far the project is actually under budget and it is important to the district that it stays that way. The board recognizes that the money being spent is taxpayer dollars and should be spent responsibly. They tried to ensure responsible spending by striving to compile complete construction documents that were very detailed in order to reduce change orders.

The district has set high expectations for the quality of LRI and its construction. Part of the district's vision as listed on its website is to create a learning environment that is "a place that stimulates curiosity and creativity" as well as "a place that provides opportunities for critical thinking and problem solving" among other goals. In order to achieve this goal the district pursued the latest technology in teaching as exemplified in the building's "STEM" labs. Another way it is trying to achieve an exceptional learning environment is striving for a LEED Silver Rating which will provide for an environment more conducive to learning through better air quality, comfort, and day lighting. To ensure the quality of the project members of the school board along with the owner's representative often take walking tours of the site for visual inspection of the construction.

The district is also striving to minimize the impact on the surrounding area. The site is surrounded by houses on three sides. In recognition of this and a township noise ordinance the district has restricted construction starting time to 7 am sharp. No loud noises before this time will be tolerated. Other examples exist as well such as the tire wash station at the exit of the site to prevent the tracking of mud onto the township roads.

Phasing & Occupancy Requirements

Since the project is not attached to any other buildings and is relatively isolated from the other schools that are located on the campus there are no phased or dual occupancy requirements. The order in which the construction flow in the building goes allows the district to start moving into areas A and B early in august, while areas C and especially D will not be ready for occupancy until the end of August. The township plans to take full advantage of this by using the larger rooms such as the cafeteria and gymnasium to act as staging areas for furniture and equipment which will later be moved to other areas of the building after turnover.

Due to the engineering of the campus there is a critical sequencing issue during construction. The issue at hand is the installation of the new switch gear for the campus. After the completion of LRI there will be four schools which will have their electricity fed through the high school's electrical room. In order to accommodate the new load that LRI will place on the existing switch gear new switch gear must be installed. However, the electricity must be shut off for installation to occur therefore the entire campus must go without electricity during the installation of the gear. Obviously, this cannot occur while school is in session. In addition, if the installation does not occur in a timely manner other construction activities that rely on power could lag due to the lack of available power. Originally, the installation was supposed to occur over the summer months of 2011 but due to unforeseen circumstances that did not happen. The new plan is to have the switch gear installed over three different shut down periods. The first shut down was Labor Day weekend and the next two shut downs will be at the end of October and Christmas Break.

Project Delivery System

The project delivery system for Landis Run Intermediate School is Design-Bid-Build with multiple primes. Design-Bid-Build was the only possible delivery system for the project because by law any project for which state and/or federal funds account for more than 50% of the funding must be Design-Bid-Build projects. Figure 6 on the following page shows the major parties involved in the design and construction of LRI and their contract relationships. In this project delivery system the five prime contractors all hold an individual contract with the owner, Manheim Township School District. Although they communicate and coordinate with one another, no one prime is responsible for or controls another prime. Although, it should be noted that certain primes do hold certain responsibilities for the entire site. For instance, the GC is deemed the "lead contractor" with respect to safety and is in charge of inspecting and maintaining safe working condition on the job site, mitigating safety conflicts (i.e. when the work of one contractor puts the safety of another contractor's worker in jeopardy), and other responsibilities relating to safety. The GC is also in charge of providing and maintaining the construction schedule. In addition, the architect only holds a contract with the owner and none of the primes. Although the architect will assist in monitoring the construction process and resolving conflicts between contractors, he has no legal obligation to any of the primes or vice versa. Furthermore, any of the primes may have sub-contractors to perform parts of their contract with the owner. However, the sub-contractors only hold a contract with and only report to the prime, not the owner. In this case, the owner has a representative who monitors the progression of the project full-time. Although the owner walks the site and receives regular reports about the project, outside of occasional observation the owner is not directly involved in the project.

The contractors were selected according to who bid the lowest. This is another stipulation of the law. Any project for which state and/or federal funds account for more than 50% of the funding the owner must award the contract to the lowest bidder on a contract.

<u> Landis Run Intermediate</u>



Staffing Plan

The management staff structure implemented by the General Contractor on Landis Run Intermediate School is split into two basic areas: office and field personnel. It consists of four office personnel and two field personnel.

Office Personnel

The project executive analyzes and tracks the project from a broad standpoint by monitoring the overall project cost and progression of the schedule. He relies on the project manager to alert him of any major issues that may need his attention. The project executive also provides advice and information based on experience to the project manager and project engineer if something arises that they may not have much experience with. The project manager is the person who holds the highest level of responsibility for the success or failure of the project. He examines the budget and schedule on a weekly or biweekly basis and is constantly looking into the near future to make sure the project runs smoothly. The PM also performs and array of activities on a day to day basis that change as the project progresses. Some of these tasks include scoping bids, buying contracts, resolving conflicts with subcontractors or other contractors, as well as confronting any other issues that may arise on the project. The project engineer works hand in hand with the project manager. His main responsibilities are composing RFI's, buying out contracts, scoping out bids, writing scopes of work, staying with contact with field personnel and resolving any issues they may raise, as well as taking meeting minutes and any miscellaneous tasks they may be assigned by the project engineer. It is important to note that many of the tasks listed above are shared with the project manager and whoever completes them for a given issue or contract is decided by the project manager. The project manager delegates the work load to members of the project team that he sees fit based on time and experience. The project administrator coordinates, submits, and files all documents relating to the project such as RFIs, LOIs, and correspondence with other parties involved in the project. They may also track down any withstanding documents or correspondence that should have been submitted to the company but is still outstanding.

Field Personnel

Supervision, utilization, and activity planning of the site as well as communicating with the other prime contractors is the responsibility of the superintendent. The superintendent submits daily reports about the progress, conditions, and weather on the site as well as any delays that were experienced and why. He walks the site to ensure that the quality of work is acceptable and coordinates with his foreman if he sees anything that needs to be fixed or changed. The superintendent also looks ahead and makes sure that

<u>Landis Run Intermediate</u>

everything is in place so that the construction activities planned for the near future will be carried out smoothly and on time. The superintendent reports on a regular basis to the PM. He reports any major issues with the project and any concerns he may have. The foreman spends the majority of his day on the site directly supervising and communicating with other contractors and sub-contractors. He also ensures that the quality of work on the site is acceptable and that the other contractors are progressing as scheduled. He reports any concerns he may have with the superintendent.

Below, figure 7 depicts the Staffing Plan for LRI that is utilized by Warfel **Construction Company.**



Figure 7.

<u>Appendix A</u>

		Tue 8/28/12	Tue 8/28/12	1 day	Area D Substantially Complete	32
		Tue 6/12/12	Wed 2/15/12	85 days	Area D Finishes	31
ſ		Tue 4/24/12	Tue 6/21/11	221 days	Area D MEP Installation	8
		Wed 2/29/12	Wed 2/29/12	1 day	Area D Dry In	29
		Wed 2/29/12	Tue 10/4/11	107 days	Area D Enclosure	28
		Fri 1/27/12	Fri 7/29/11	131 days	Area D Superstructure	27
E		Mon 7/11/11	Thu 6/9/11	23 days	Area D Foundations	26
		Tue 8/21/12	Tue 8/21/12	1 day	Area C Substantially Complete	23
[Thu 3/29/12	Wed 6/29/11	197 days	Area C Finishes	24
		Thu 4/5/12	Tue 5/31/11	223 days	Area C MEP Installation	23
		Tue 2/14/12	Tue 2/14/12	1 day	Area C Dry In	22
		Tue 2/14/12	Tue 12/27/11	36 days	Area C Enclosure	21
•		Man 12/26/11	Wed 7/6/11	124 days	Area C Superstructure	20
		Tue 6/28/11	Wed 5/25/11	25 days	Area C Foundations	19
		Fri 8/3/12	Fri 8/3/12	1 day	Area B Substantially Complete	18
		Tue 7/10/12	Thu 9/15/11	214 days	Area B Finishes	17
		Thu 2/16/12	Fri 4/15/11	220 days	Area B MEP Installation	16
		Thu 9/22/11	Thu 9/22/11	1 day	Area B Dry In	15
		Thu 9/22/11	Wed 7/27/11	42 days	Area B Enclosure	14
		Thu 8/4/11	Wed 5/18/11	57 days	Area B Superstructure	13
		Tue 5/3/11	Man 3/7/11	42 days	Area B Foundations	12
		Fri 8/3/12	Fri 8/3/12	1 day	Area A Substantially Complete	11
		Wed 7/11/12	Fri 10/7/11	199 days	Area A Finishes	ö
		Fri 2/17/12	Mon 4/4/11	230 days	Area A MEP Installation	φ
		Thu 10/5/11	Thu 10/6/11	1 day	Area A Dry In	00
		Thu 10/6/11	Fri 7/15/11	60 days	Area A Enclosure	7
		Thu 8/18/11	Wed 5/11/11	72 days	Area A Superstructure	Φ
[Wed 5/11/11	Tue 3/8/11	47 days	Area A Foundations	UN
		Fri 4/20/12	Wed 12/15/10	353 days	Sitework	4
н		Fri 12/10/10	Fri 12/10/10	1 day	Notice to Proceed	ω
		Fri 12/10/10	Wed 6/2/10	138 days	Procurement of Construction Services	2
		Tue 6/1/10	Fri 1/1/10	108 days	Design Phase	4
1/24 3/21 5/16 7/11 9/5 10/31 12/26 2/20 4/17 6/12	10/4 11/29					



<u>Appendix B</u>

	Square Foot Cost Estimate Report				
Estimate Name:	Landis Run Intermediate School				
	School, Elementary with Face Brick with				
Building Type:	Concrete Block Back-up / Bearing Walls			C. a Zam	
Location:	National Average	A.A. (n Mar	Mr. Bank	ha
Story Count:	2		Tana an	In the second state	1263
Story Height (L.F.):	12	Stree Merris and	- Internation	Contractor and	Dear Dear
Floor Area (S.F.):	105000		in commences	Automatic scimon to the	
Labor Type:	Union				
Basement Included:	NO				
Data Release:	Year 2008 Quarter 1	Costs are derived from a building	r model with	basis components.	
Cost Per Square Foot:	\$85.21	Scope differences and marilet co	ndtions can	casile costs to vary significant	ty.
Building Cost:	\$17,894,500	Parameters are not within the r	anges recom	mended by RSM ears.	
and the second second second		% of	Total	Cost Per S.F.	Cost
A Substructure			8.50%	\$7.26	\$1,525,000
A1010	Standard Founda	tions		\$1.33	\$279,500
	12" deep x 24" wide				
A1030	Slab on Grade	8		\$4.61	\$968,000
	Slab on grade, 4" thick, non industrial, reinfor	ced			
A2010	Basement Excava	ition		\$0.14	\$29,500
	storage				
A2020	Basement Wal	ls		\$1.18	\$248,000
	thick		_		
B Shell			17.30%	\$14.78	\$3,103,000
B1020	Roof Constructi	on	12	\$3.85	\$808,500
-	40 PSF superimposed load, 60 PSF total load				
B2010	Exterior Wall	5		\$2.48	\$521,500
	perlite core fill				
B2020	Exterior Windo	W5		\$1.03	\$215,500
-	Windows, aluminum, awning, insulated glass, 4'-5" x 5'-3"				
B2030	Exterior Doors		\$0.54	\$113,500	
	6'-0" x 10'-0" opening				
	opening				
B3010	Roof Covering	s	1	\$6.88	\$1,444,000
	strps				
	Insulation, rigid, roof deck, polyisocyanurate,	2#/CF, 2" thick, R14.29			
	Insulation, rigid, roof deck, polyisocyanurate,	tapered for drainage			
	counter flashing		1		
	Roof edges, aluminum, duranodic, .050" thick	, 8" face	L.		
	Flashing, aluminum, no backing sides, .019"			19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (19 (
C Interiors			26.70%	\$22.73	\$4,773,500
C1010	Partitions			\$4.22	\$886,000
	Concrere block (CMU) partition, regular weig	ht, hollow, 8" thick, no fin	ish		
C1020	Interior Door	5		\$1.20	\$252,500
	0" x 7'-0" x 1-3/8"				
C1030	Fittings			\$1.73	\$364,000
	Toilet partitions, cubicles, ceiling hung, stainle	ess steel			
	Chalkboards, liquid chalk type, aluminum fran	ne & chalktrough			
C3010	Wall Finishes	1000	- Ŭ	\$3.22	\$677,000
	2 coats paint on masonry with block filler		j		
	Painting, masonry or concrete, latex, brushwo	ork, primer & 2 coats			
	Painting, masonry or concrete, latex, brushwo	ork, addition for block fille	ti.		
	Wall coatings, acrylic glazed coatings, maximu	Im	Ĩ.	i ji	
	Ceramic tile, thin set, 4-1/4" x 4-1/4"				
C3020	Floor Finishes	5		\$6.50	\$1,365,500

	Carpet, tufted, nylon, roll goods, 12' wide, 36 oz			
	Carpet, padding, add to above, minimum			
	Terrazzo, maximum			
	Vinyl, composition tile, maximum			
C3030	Ceiling Finishes		\$5.85	\$1,228,50
	grid, suspended support			
D Services		47.30%	\$40.32	\$8,467,00
D2010	Plumbing Fixtures		\$7.19	\$1,509,00
	Water closet, vitreous china, bowl only with flush valve, floor mou	nt		
	Urinal, vitreous china, stall type			
	Lavatory w/trim, wall hung, PE on CI, 20" x 18"			
	Kitchen sink w/trim, countertop, stainless steel, 43" x 22" double b	lwow		
	Service sink w/trim, PE on CI, corner floor, wall hung w/rim guard,	24" x 20"		
	Shower, stall, baked enamel, terrazzo receptor, 36" square		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Water cooler, electric, wall hung, wheelchair type, 7.5 GPH			
D2020	Domestic Water Distribution		\$0.09	\$19,00
	Gas fired water heater, commercial, 100< F rise, 300 MBH input, 2	78 GPH		
D2040	Rain Water Drainage		\$0.10	\$20,50
	Roof drain, CI, soil, single hub, 5" diam, 10' high			
	Roof drain, CI, soil, single hub, 5" diam, for each additional foot add	ł		
D3010	Energy Supply		\$8.35	\$1,753,500
	10,000 SF, 100,000 CF, total 2 floors			
D3050	Terminal & Package Units		\$10.86	\$2,280,500
	ton			
D4010	Sprinklers		\$2.26	\$474,500
	Wet pipe sprinkler systems, steel, light hazard, 1 floor, 50,000 SF			
D5010	Electrical Service/Distribution		\$0.28	\$58,500
	4 wire, 120/208 V, 800 A			
	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 8	800 A		
	Switchgear installation, incl switchboard, panels & circuit breaker,	800 A		
D5020	Lighting and Branch Wiring	\$9.22	\$1,936,000	
	transformer			
	Wall switches, 2.0 per 1000 SF			
	Miscellaneous power, 1.2 watts			
	Central air conditioning power, 4 watts			
	fixtures @40 watt per 1000 SF			
D5030	Communications and Security		\$1.90	\$398,500
	sound systems, 12 outlets			
	fire detection systems, 50 detectors			
	master clock systems, 10 rooms			
	master TV antenna systems, 12 outlets			
	Internet wiring, 2 data/voice outlets per 1000 S.F.			
D5090	Other Electrical Systems		\$0.08	\$17,000
	operated, 3 phase, 4 wire, 277/480 V, 15 kW			
E Equipment & F	umishings	0.20%	\$0.12	\$26,000
E1020	Institutional Equipment		\$0.12	\$26,000
	Architectural equipment, laboratory equipment, counter tops, stai	nless steel		
E1090	Other Equipment		\$0.00	\$0
F Special Constru	iction	0.00%	\$0.00	Ş
G Building Sitewo	ork	0.00%	\$0.00	\$6
154 - 155 TH 15		2.10-starsar	1974 N. 77 1977 - 1984 - 1987 - 1	
SubTotal		100%	\$85.21	\$17,894,50
Contractor Fees	(General Conditions,Overhead,Profit)	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
Architectural Fee	25	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
User Fees		0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
Total Building Co	ost		\$85.21	\$17,894,500

Matthew Stevenson | Construction Management | 9.23.11

<u>Appendix C</u>

ſ	Square Foot Cost Estimate Report	10.00			
Estimate Name:	Landis Run Intermediate School				
	School, Elementary with Face Brick with				
Building Type:	Concrete Block Back-up / Bearing Walls	22			
Location:	National Average			E - 3200	
Story Count:	1		m M	1. 1.	M
Story Height (L.F.):	12	Southern Prove	- And	Watter of	1233
Floor Area (S.F.);	210000	Stan Bernin work		New York Constraints	BLARY -
Labor Type:	Union	- Ale	(Province)	The A Bland - 3	
Basement Included:	No				
Data Release:	Year 2008 Quarter 1	Costa are derived from a building m	odel with	liasis components.	
Cost Per Square Foot:	\$85.21	Scope differences and market cond	there can	aver costs to vary significant	N
Building Cost:	\$17,894,500	Parameters are not within the ran	ges recom	mended by RSMeans.	
					1
		% of 1	Total	Cost Per S.F.	Cost
A Substructure			8.50%	\$7.26	\$1,525,000
A1010	Standard Foundation	ns		\$1.33	\$279,500
	12" deep x 24" wide				
A1030	Slab on Grade		10	\$4.61	\$968,000
	Slab on grade, 4" thick, non industrial, reinforced	1][
A2010	Basement Excavatio	n	10	\$0.14	\$29,500
	storage	12-2	1		
A2020	Basement Walls		- 10	\$1.18	\$248,000
	thick				
B Shell		1	7.30%	\$14.78	\$3,103,000
B1020	Roof Construction			\$3.85	\$808,500
	40 PSF superimposed load, 60 PSF total load		-		
B2010	Exterior Walls			\$2.48	\$521,500
	nerlite core fill		- 11		4000,000
B2020	Exterior Windows	1	- 11	\$1.03	\$215,500
	Exterior Windows Windows aluminum awning insulated glass 4',5" x 5',2"			1220,200	
82030	Exterior Doors	5.42.5	- 11	\$0.54	\$113 500
02050	6'-0" x 10'-0" opening		1	40.54	\$115,500
-	cospiler		- 11		
82010	Poof Cousting			¢6.99	\$1 444 000
55010	Roor Coverings			20.00	\$1,444,000
	sups	ACT 28 + Lab D14 20			
	Insulation, rigid, roof deck, polyisocyanurate, 24,	CF, 2 TRICK, R14.29	-		
	Insulation, rigid, roof deck, polyisocyanurate, tap	ered for drainage			
	counter hashing	(marca)			
	Roof edges, aluminum, duranodic, USU ⁺ thick, 8	face			
	Flashing, aluminum, no backing sides, .019"	1 -	. 200/	(10.10)	64 333 500
C Interiors		- 4	5.70%	\$22.73	\$4,773,500
C1010	Partitions			\$4.22	\$886,000
	Concrere block (CMU) partition, regular weight,	hollow, 8" thick, no finis	ih	41.00	4252 522
C1020	Interior Doors			\$1.20	\$252,500
	0" x 7'-0" x 1-3/8"				
C1030	Fittings			\$1.73	\$364,000
	Toilet partitions, cubicles, ceiling hung, stainless	steel			
	Chalkboards, liquid chalk type, aluminum frame	& chalktrough			
C3010	Wall Finishes			\$3.22	\$677,000
	2 coats paint on masonry with block filler				
	Painting, masonry or concrete, latex, brushwork	primer & 2 coats			
	Painting, masonry or concrete, latex, brushwork	addition for block filler	8 I.		
	Wall coatings, acrylic glazed coatings, maximum]		
	Ceramic tile, thin set, 4-1/4" x 4-1/4"		(
C3020	Floor Finishes			\$6.50	\$1,365,500

	Carpet, tufted, nylon, roll goods, 12' wide, 36 oz		
	Carpet, padding, add to above, minimum		
	Terrazzo, maximum		
	Vinyl, composition tile, maximum		
C3030	Ceiling Finishes	\$5.85	\$1,228,500
	grid, suspended support		
D Services	47.30%	\$40.32	\$11,026,365
D2010	Plumbing Fixtures	\$7.19	\$1,509,000
	Water closet, vitreous china, bowl only with flush valve, floor mount		
	Urinal, vitreous china, stall type		
	Lavatory w/trim, wall hung, PE on CI, 20" x 18"		
	Kitchen sink w/trim, countertop, stainless steel, 43" x 22" double bowl		
	Service sink w/trim, PE on CI, corner floor, wall hung w/rim guard, 24" x 20"		
	Shower, stall, baked enamel, terrazzo receptor, 36" square		
	Water cooler, electric, wall hung, wheelchair type, 7.5 GPH		
D2020	Domestic Water Distribution	\$0.09	\$19,000
	Gas fired water heater, commercial, 100< F rise, 300 MBH input, 278 GPH		
D2040	Rain Water Drainage	\$0.10	\$20,500
	Roof drain, CI, soil,single hub, 5" diam, 10' high		
	Roof drain, CI, soil, single hub, 5" diam, for each additional foot add		
D3030	Energy Supply	\$8.35	\$2,499,000
	Cooling Generating Systems		
D3050	3050 Terminal & Package Units		\$3,864,000
-	95.83 ton		
D4010	Sprinklers	\$2.26	\$625,500
	Dry Pipe, one story 50,000 ft2 and (2) three story 25000ft2		
D5010	Electrical Service/Distribution	\$0.28	\$82,650
	phase, 4 wire, 277/480A, 2500A	2	
	Feeder installation 600 V, including RGS conduit and XHHW wire, 800 A	_	
	2500A		
D5020	Lighting and Branch Wiring	\$9.22	\$1,936,000
	transformer		
	Wall switches, 2.0 per 1000 SF		
	Miscellaneous power, 1.2 watts		
	Central air conditioning power, 4 watts		
	fixtures @40 watt per 1000 SF		
D5030	Communications and Security	\$1.90	\$398,500
	sound systems, 12 outlets		
	fire detection systems, 50 detectors		
	master clock systems, 10 rooms		
	master TV antenna systems, 12 outlets		
	Internet wiring, 2 data/voice outlets per 1000 S.F.		
D5090	Other Electrical Systems	\$0.08	\$72,215
	operated, 3 phase, 4 wire, 277/480 V, 130 kW		
E Equipment & Fi	urnishings 0.20%	\$0.12	\$26,000
E1020	Institutional Equipment	\$0.12	\$26,000
	Architectural equipment, laboratory equipment, counter tops, stainless steel		
E1090	Other Equipment	\$0.00	\$0
F Special Constru	ction 0.00%	\$0.00	\$0

SubTotal	100%	\$85.21	\$17,894,500
Contractor Fees (General Conditions, Overhead, Profit)	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
Architectural Fees	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
User Fees	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0
Total Building Cost		\$85.21	\$20,453,865

Appendix D

System Description built are electric co built are electric co built are electric co built are electric co cocur when the ran systems and electric systems compared systems and the system systems compared participation of the system participation of the system participation of the system participation of the s	
Return Duct Return Citle Supply Anno Collegard gas basi. Anno Collegard gas b	Return Duct
Durf F F Constraints of the second se	d Top Unit
Instat Celling problem : s based in taked. The taked taken is storu situ about situ capacity. E.a. System	Insulated S
Supplemental Supplemental no color (santa nord) be regard (1,759,59,50) (229,08 (229,08 (229,08 (229,08 (229,08 (229,08 (229,08 (229,08) (upply Duct
Number Number Number 0 6 0	
	L
System Description matures with type coupled. Durb electric con complete. Durb electric con complete. Durb electric complete. Durb electric sostem Composition sostem	
Return Ducks Return Ducks Responses. Re	Refurm Ducts
La L	
Cost investigation of the same Cost investigation of the same Cost investigation of the same The same Cost investigation Cost investigation Cost investigation Loss	Roof 1
Authizone Unit Systems Wate A	- Roof Top Unit
Authicone Unit Systems Cost Pages Cost	Root Top Unit
Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the sume Image: series of the series of	Food Top Unit

Matthew Stevenson | Construction Management | 9.23.11

322 , 0540 0540 0550 0550 D3020 315 D3020 Heat Generating Systems D30 HVAC - .: Chimney, Stainless Steel, Insulated, Wood & Oil Š. 137 184 241 SC/DR COST PER VL.F. ĮĮ, 1180 1200 1440 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950 2040 2040 割 011 00000 030 Cooling Generating Systems tem Components HVAC Chilled Water Supply & Return Piping D0009 L10 L200 D0008 L10 L200 Vertilitären constationen giller, coloni umr Far ool ar conditioning und, colonie maanstol 6 fittes chilled water Water chiller ar conditioning und, ar coloid Chilled water distribution piping
 300 Series
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 2000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 1000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 1000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 2000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 1000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series

 2000 SER
 3100 Series
 3100 Series
 d water 7 3.002 S.F., 9.50 ton 40,000 S.F., 126.66 ton Convidence, 3,000 S.F., 5.50 too. 0,000 S.F., 73.33 ton 3,000 S.F., 15:00 ton 1000 S.F., 100:00 ton **Chilled Water, Air Cooled Condenser Systems** HOC WALES Insulate _____ Return <= | and 11.50 tor included based on a two pipe system. No ducting is included and the fan-coil units are cooling only. Water treatment and balancing are not included. Chilled water Fan Coil Unit TOTAL COST PER S.F. Air Cooled Water Chiller Unit --- Supply --- Finish Ceiling QUANTITY L000 L000 is through the use of m units. Fewel but larger duct distribution would the same S.F. cost. Roof 5 F F F F S -010 MAY 19,759.20 6.59 3,482.70 7,122.50 1,300 7,854 N, multiple fan coil ir fan coil units with id be approximately COST PER S.F. COST EACH INST. 22,751.37 7.58 513.24 1,918.13 1,400 18,920 3.47 4.89 8.60 3.35 8.95 10.60 2.63 8.45 TOTAL 42,510.57 14.17 3,995.94 9,040.63 2,700 26,774 7.51 19.35 12.06 28 323 8.03 9.53 9.55 8.56 15.3 38 8.8

<u>Landis Run Intermediate</u>





<u> Landis Run Intermediate</u>



<u> Landis Run Intermediate</u>





29

Appendix E

LANCASTER COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY **RATE SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2011**

MATERIAL TYPE	LOCATION	TIP FEES
Refuse ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	RRF/TS or LF (Case-By-Case)	\$73.00 per ton
Non-Processable Waste ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	\$73.00 per ton
Non-Processable Waste (Oversize) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	\$110.00 per ton
Construction/Demolition ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF/TS or RRF (Case-By-Case)	\$53.00 per ton
Putrescible ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Sewage Sludge ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF/RRF	Case-By-Case
Processed Infectious/Pathological ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Ash Residue ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Contaminated Soil ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Friable Asbestos ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Tires (Recyclable) - 15 And Under	ALL	\$3.00 per tire (cars & motorcycles) \$10.00 per tire (trucks - rim size >20")
Tires (Recyclable) - Over 15 (by appointment only)	LF/TS	\$145.00 per ton
Tires (Non-Recyclable) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF	\$150.00 per ton
White Goods Which May Contain Refrigerants	ALL	\$15.00 per White Good In a mixed load with Municipal Waste \$8.00 per White Good plus applicable waste rate per ton
All Other White Goods	ALL	<u>\$10.00 per White Good</u> In a mixed load with Municipal Waste <u>first</u> White Good waste rate per ton only. Second White Good and each additional unit \$5.00 per White Good
Any Single Item 200 lbs. or less	ALL	\$15.00
Commingled Recyclables ⁽⁶⁾	ALL	\$10.00 per ton
Single Stream Recyclables ⁽⁶⁾	TS/LF	\$10.00 per ton
Newsprint and Office Paper ⁽⁶⁾	TS/RRF	\$10.00 per ton
Yard Waste ⁽⁵⁾	LF	\$30.00 per ton
Wood - Small ⁽⁴⁾	RRF	\$50.00 per ton
Wood - Large ⁽⁴⁾	LF	\$60.00 per ton
Wood - Oversize ⁽⁴⁾	LF	Case-By-Case
Residual ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	LF/RRF or TS (Case-By-Case)	Case-By-Case
Public Scale Fee	ALL	\$8.00

A fee equal to the greater of i.) \$50.00 or ii.) actual cost incurred, may be imposed on mixed loads.

A \$4.00 per ton Growing Greener Fee will be applied to each ton of Waste to be disposed at the LF with the exception of Refuse.

(2) A \$3.00 per ton Transfer Fee will be applied to each ton of Refuse delivered to the TS.

⁽³⁾ A \$9.00 per ton Transfer Fee will be applied to each ton of Construction/Demolition Waste delivered to the TS. LF - Landfill

- (4) Minimum Fee \$30.00
- (5) Minimum Fee \$15.00
- (6) Minimum Fee \$10.00

- **RRF Resource Recovery Facility**
- TS Transfer Station

1/3/2011 M:\ADMIN\Rules\RateSchedule'11.doc











